

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,397	08/30/2001	Aaron S. Witt	D/A1466	8229	
7590 06/02/2006			EXAMINER		
Patent Documentation Center			HO, A	HO, ANDY	
Xerox Corporation			ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 Clinton Ave. S.			2194	2194	
Rochester, NY 14644			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/943,397	WITT ET AL.	WITT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Andy Ho	2194			
	•			

before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy Ho	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the followord places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a New (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab fifidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee.	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE a) and the appropriate extension The appropriate extension	D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must lead to the shortened stabours.	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	on, even if timely filed, ma e filed within two mon), to avoid dismissal o	ths of the date
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	corresponding number of finally re		the issues for
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	s):	•	
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w	•	_
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper the Filing of an Appeal Brief SUPE	/	XAMINER
PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Advisory Action Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief SUPE	Part o	f Paper No.



The request for reconsideration filed 5/4/2006 has been fully considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because the applicant argued the same arguments as set forth in the amendment received 11/30/2005.

Applicant argued that Shih reference does not teach directly sending image data from the scanner to the destination computer (Remarks, page 4). In response, while the document can be in electronic mail format as disclosed by Shih, Fig. 4 and its associated specifications in Shih reference clearly do not disclose any kind of server interposed between the scanner and the destination computer. The reference meets the limitation as claimed.

Applicant argued that Lo reference does not teach directly sending image data from the scanner to the destination computer, that is no intermediate computer operatively disposed between the scanner and the destination computer associated therewith (Remarks, last complete paragraph page 4 continue to page 5). In response, as disclosed in the rejections of claim 21, Shih reference was used to teach this limitation, not Lo reference.